

Top Priorities for U.S. Corporate Treasury Professionals: ESG & Digital Adoption

May 19, 2022

ESG

The tumultuous events of the past two years have caused a radical reordering of priorities among America's largest companies. Eighty-five percent of the corporate treasury and finance executives participating in this year's study reported a change in top business priorities in 2021. Eight in 10 large U.S. companies have established some internal ESG goals.

Spurred on by senior management, boards of directors, shareholders, and customers, corporate treasury officials are now working to expand ESG and more fully integrate ESG factors into finance and treasury operations. "As things have evolved on the financing front and on sustainability overall, we're constantly trying to find ways to weave in ESG with what we are doing here," says a treasury professional from a large U.S. corporate.

Top Business Priorities in the Next 6–12 Months

1 ESG (Green Financing, Carbon Reduction, etc.)	<p>“ ESG is a very big focus for our company...We are doing a lot of internal tracking. It's a program we have put into place without the banks. For this year, we are looking at redoing our credit facility. We have been talking to various parties and probably will have an ESG agent step up as part of our credit facility. ”</p>
2 Digital Adoption (Incl. WFH, TMS, ERP, Payments)	<p>“ Making sure business is able to operate in a remote environment with minimal disruption, cybersecurity enhancements and focus on growing the business in a positive rate environment. We're still focused on making sure that there's minimal disruption. ”</p>
3 Cost Control—OPEX Reduction	<p>“ We've certainly tried to cut a lot of costs through 2020 and continuing into 2021, in terms of travel costs certainly as well as other variable costs. We would say the biggest sort of top-down management change is trying to cut costs, wherever we can. ”</p>
4 Liquidity and Supply-Chain Resiliency	<p>“ During COVID it was more of a hey, let's make sure we have a lot of liquidity just in case things get really, really bad. As we are starting to emerge, we are still maintaining a fairly high liquidity position right now, especially with [new variants] incoming and things like that. ”</p>

Note: Based on 167 respondents.

Source: Coalition Greenwich 2021 U.S. Large Corporate Banking Study

As they do so, companies are looking for banks and other advisors who can advise them on where and how to apply ESG standards, goals and products within these functions. On the corporate-banking front, companies in 2021 said they participated in ESG seminars and webinars hosted by their banks and spent time getting educated by their bankers about green bonds. In cash management, companies said they are relying on their banks for news, advice and education on ESG. In trade finance, companies are looking for specific advice on how to achieve ESG compliance up and down the supply chain.

Going forward, companies will partner with banks that can help them achieve their broad ESG goals. Corporate treasury officers say they are looking for two main characteristics in a partner: 1) A demonstrated commitment to ESG in the bank's own business, including a strong brand association with positive environmental and social practices, and 2) The expertise and capabilities to help the company understand and execute on ESG strategies. These traits will become increasingly important as more companies adopt ESG standards as baseline criteria for participation in their banking groups.

Digital Adoption

Corporate banks are moving into the future. They are building out digital capabilities, and they are focusing

on tech-forward companies best positioned to take advantage of innovation.

The sudden embrace of digital technologies by companies during the pandemic lockdown accelerated the advance of digital tools as a cornerstone of corporate banking relationships across the industry. For many companies in the technology space, however, digital solutions were already a top priority in assembling their banking groups. Companies like Amazon, Apple and Google represent some of the most attractive prospects for corporate banks. Because these companies are sitting on mountains of cash, credit provision plays a lesser role. These companies are looking for banking partners capable of operating at their speed. That means connecting digitally, achieving efficiencies through automation, optimizing processes and customizing service with data analytics and, increasingly, providing high-value ideas and advice through predictive analytics.

In many ways, that's an entirely new and different relationship model. It's also a very attractive model for the banks capable of achieving it. The Big Three US corporate banks have already started targeting these types of relationships and clients. Although the U.S. remains a buyer's market for banking services, the biggest banks are beginning to demonstrate a preference for these tech-based relationships over companies in manufacturing and other industries that still require more manual, paper- and human-based service (in addition to more lending.)

Although it's now the tech companies that are defining this new model, other companies are progressing down a similar path at their own pace. The corporate treasury officers participating in this year's study rank "digital adoption" as their number-two business priority for the next 12 months, behind only ESG. Some of that emphasis is related to COVID-19 and the need to accommodate work from home and other remote business and communications functions. However, companies are also looking to integrate treasury management systems, enterprise resource planning solutions and systems to digitize a host of functions across treasury, cash management and trade finance. The share of companies using digital platforms from their banks and third-parties to initiate and manage trade services and finances has been steadily climbing. One treasury executive summed up the priorities expressed by many of her peers. In the year ahead, she said company's goal is "making sure the business is able to operate in a remote environment with minimal disruption, cyber security enhancements, and focus on growing the business in a positive rate environment."

Over the past several years, many of these investments have been funded with profits from investment banking, especially M&A. The strength of those business allowed the Big Three banks to continue funding their technology programs in an era of low interest rates and limited corporate loan demand. Other banks have not been so lucky. Banks without large investment banking businesses to diversify revenue streams and profits have been unable to match the Big Three in tech spending. As a result, the capabilities gap between the Big Three and the rest of the industry is widening. That will be bad news for smaller and regional banks as the corporate banking industry continues its transformation to a technology-based model.

International

Global supply chain disruptions have fueled a surge in demand for international trade finance services among large U.S. companies. Companies have spent the past two years responding to short-term break-downs, reassessing the makeup of their supply chains, and attempting build in new levels of resiliency. As they tackle those tasks, they are increasing their use of foreign exchange, international cash management and trade

service products in Asia and other regions. Mounting demand for these products and services plays to the strengths of the biggest US banks. Banks with strong international networks are best positioned to help companies think through issues about supply chains, financing and risk management. In some cases, companies are turning to regional specialists in China and other Asian countries for expert advice and on-the-ground support. In general, however, until supply chain disruptions and geopolitical challenges are resolved, the largest global banks will have yet another advantage over smaller rivals.

www.greenwich.com | ContactUs@greenwich.com

Coalition Greenwich, a division of CRISIL, an S&P Global Company, is a leading global provider of strategic benchmarking, analytics and insights to the financial services industry.

We specialize in providing unique, high-value and actionable information to help our clients improve their business performance.

Our suite of analytics and insights encompass all key performance metrics and drivers: market share, revenue performance, client relationship share and quality, operational excellence, return on equity, behavioral drivers, and industry evolution.

About CRISIL

CRISIL is a leading, agile and innovative global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets function better. It is majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics, and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

CRISIL is India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics, and solutions with a strong record of growth, culture of innovation, and global footprint.

It has delivered independent opinions, actionable insights and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers through businesses that operate from India, the U.S., the U.K., Argentina, Poland, China, Hong Kong, and Singapore.

For more information, visit www.crisil.com

Disclaimer and Copyright

This Document is prepared by Crisil Coalition Greenwich, which is a part of Crisil Ltd, a company of S&P Global. All rights reserved. This Document may contain analysis of commercial data relating to revenues, productivity and headcount of financial services organisations (together with any other commercial information set out in the Document). The Document may also include statements, estimates and projections with respect to the anticipated future performance of certain companies and as to the market for those companies' products and services.

The Document does not constitute (or purport to constitute) an accurate or complete representation of past or future activities of the businesses or companies considered in it but rather is designed to only highlight the trends. This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a comprehensive Document on the financial state

of any business or company. The Document represents the views of Crisil Coalition Greenwich as on the date of the Document and Crisil Coalition Greenwich has no obligation to update or change it in the light of new or additional information or changed circumstances after submission of the Document.

This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a credit assessment or investment advice and should not form basis of any lending, investment or credit decision. This Document does not constitute nor form part of an offer or invitation to subscribe for, underwrite or purchase securities in any company. Nor should this Document, or any part of it, form the basis to be relied upon in any way in connection with any contract relating to any securities. The Document is not an investment analysis or research and is not subject to regulatory or legal obligations on the production of, or content of, investment analysis or research.

The data contained in the Document is based upon a particular bank's scope, which reflects a bank's data submission, business structure, and sales revenue Reporting methodology. As a result, any data contained in the Document may not be directly comparable to data presented to another bank. For franchise benchmarking, Crisil Coalition Greenwich has implemented equal ranking logic on aggregate results i.e., when sales revenues are within 5% of at least one competitor ahead, a tie is shown and designated by = (where actual ranks are shown). Entity level data has no equal ranking logic implemented and therefore, on occasion, the differences between rank bands can be very close mathematically.

The data in this Document may reflect the views reported to Crisil Coalition Greenwich by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Crisil Coalition Greenwich compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes to produce the final results.

THE DOCUMENT IS COMPILED FROM SOURCES CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH DISCLAIMS ALL REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING AS TO THE VALIDITY, ACCURACY, REASONABLENESS OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION, STATEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Crisil Coalition Greenwich is a part of Crisil Ltd., an S&P Global company. ©2025 Crisil Ltd. All rights reserved.