

ETFs Present New Challenges for Equity Trading Desks

January 21, 2020

Executive Summary:



The growth of exchange-traded funds over the last 25 years has been one of the biggest secular trends in investing. Closely tied to the rise of passive investment management, ETFs allow investors to gain exposure to broad market indices such as the S&P 500 or individual sectors. In recent years, the types of products available have expanded in myriad ways, including ETFs with short exposure, leveraged exposure, style exposure, or ETFs that track different asset classes, countries, and regions—and now, actively managed ETFs.

ETFs have become an essential tool for institutional and retail investors alike. Financial advisors are heavy users of ETFs as they allow them to construct bespoke portfolios according to their client preferences and risk profile, or to provide smart beta exposure while the advisor layers on active bets. Institutional investors are also increasing exposure to ETFs, with [allocations among U.S. institutions rising to 24.8%¹](#) of total assets in 2018 and to [15% among European institutions²](#), according to recent Greenwich Associates research.

According to ETFGI, there are over 2,000 ETFs available in the United States and only slightly fewer in Europe. ETFs now represent up to 30% of average daily volume in U.S. equity markets and about 10% in Europe.

These numbers are only set to increase in the U.S. with the new “[ETF rule³](#)” coming into force that seeks to reduce costs and time to market for ETF issuers.


With volumes at such record levels, ETF trading is becoming an important focus for both buy-side and sell-side desks. In this Greenwich Report, we look into the latest ETF execution trends among institutional equity trading desks.

Methodology:


Between July and September 2019, Greenwich Associates interviewed 60 buy-side equity traders in the U.S. and Europe. Respondents were asked a series of questions about their usage and execution preferences for ETFs.

RESPONDENTS


By Region¹



By AUM¹



By Annual Trading Commissions²



Note: ¹Based on 60 responses. ²Based on 59 responses.
Source: Greenwich Associates 2019 Market Structure and Trading Technology Study



The data reported in this document reflect solely the views reported to Greenwich Associates by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Greenwich Associates compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes in order to produce the final results. Unless otherwise indicated, any opinions or market observations made are strictly our own.

©2020 Greenwich Associates, LLC. All rights reserved. No portion of these materials may be copied, reproduced, distributed or transmitted, electronically or otherwise, to external parties or publicly without the permission of Greenwich Associates, LLC. Greenwich Associates,[®] Competitive Challenges,[®] Greenwich Quality Index,[®] Greenwich ACCESS,[™] Greenwich AIM[™] and Greenwich Reports[®] are registered marks of Greenwich Associates, LLC. Greenwich Associates may also have rights in certain other marks used in these materials.