


Research Management Systems: Powering Productivity for Modern Investment Management

May 25, 2021

Executive Summary:



Against a backdrop of expanding data sources, remote work and greater need for collaboration, the investment management industry is facing an urgent requirement to leverage modern tools to support investment process.

Both asset managers and asset owners are seeking solutions to their research management challenges. They now recognize that a modern research management process can help streamline data procurement, normalization and cross-referencing, while also standardizing workflows, enhancing compliance and improving team collaboration.

Asset managers benefit from the ability to share information and collaborate across teams. Asset owners, such as pensions or endowments/foundations, are also increasing their usage of research management systems (RMSs), as these tools help asset allocators streamline their manager due-diligence process and vendor tracking/evaluation.

RMSs have powered productivity for investment managers for many years, but rising complexity makes modern investment management tools a more urgent requirement.

While pain points have been solved and gains made, increasing complexity is on the horizon. Environmental, social and governance (ESG) and alternative data sources are increasing the data points used in the investment process and highlighting the need for better data management.

Today, most investment managers are dissatisfied with how alternative data is incorporated into their research management process. With hundreds of alternative datasets available, it's not easy. Moreover, the number of data types seems to be growing exponentially. While some data providers like FactSet and Bloomberg are working to make the data easier to use, new and previously undiscovered datasets seem to arrive monthly. Thus, while many asset owners and asset managers consider their current research management setup sufficient, increasing market complexity is producing new urgency.

More awareness of the technologically advanced systems in the market can help firms understand how they can satisfy these new pain points and help them decide whether to stay on their current system or make a possible upgrade. The urgency of managing alternative data as well as general dissatisfaction with document management are forcing asset managers and asset owners to consider a more modernized architecture and approach to systems. The availability of open application programming interfaces (APIs) and the importance of the ecosystem mean that legacy architectures are not always up to the task.

Upgraded tools are available and can offer better integration and flows across the value chain, including with order management systems (OMS) and portfolio management systems (PMS). Customer relationship management (CRM) integration is also not particularly strong across the industry, and more modern approaches can offer enhanced performance. But why stop there? If a firm has upgraded its OMS or PMS to a more architecturally savvy version, a logical next step could be to transform the RMS for an improved buy-side front-office experience.

Coalition Greenwich believes smart firms should not simply try to survive the growing list of data sources and requirements for remote work, but rather seek improved collaboration and integration with other tools in order to thrive with a more modernized approach.


In this Greenwich Report, we look at the increasingly complex investment management process and analyze how firms are using RMSs to enhance both operational efficiency and competitive advantage.

Methodology:


Between February and April 2021, Coalition Greenwich interviewed 57 executives at asset owners and asset managers in the United States to better understand their research management needs and procedures, the pain points they face and the types of technology they use in this process. Respondents represented a variety of organizations, which are broadly categorized into 23 asset owners and 34 asset managers.

We spoke with investment professionals including Chief Investment Officers, Directors of Research, portfolio managers, Chief Technology Officers, and others.

Respondents



Note: Numbers in parentheses represent number of respondents.
Source: Coalition Greenwich 2021 Research Management Software Study



www.greenwich.com | ContactUs@greenwich.com

Coalition Greenwich, a division of CRISIL, an S&P Global Company, is a leading global provider of strategic benchmarking, analytics and insights to the financial services industry.

We specialize in providing unique, high-value and actionable information to help our clients improve their business performance.

Our suite of analytics and insights encompass all key performance metrics and drivers: market share, revenue performance, client relationship share and quality, operational excellence, return on equity, behavioral drivers, and industry evolution.

About CRISIL

CRISIL is a leading, agile and innovative global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets function better. It is majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics, and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

CRISIL is India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics, and solutions with a strong record of growth, culture of innovation, and global footprint.

It has delivered independent opinions, actionable insights and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers through businesses that operate from India, the U.S., the U.K., Argentina, Poland, China, Hong Kong, and Singapore.

For more information, visit www.crisil.com

Disclaimer and Copyright

This Document is prepared by Crisil Coalition Greenwich, which is a part of Crisil Ltd, a company of S&P Global. All rights reserved. This Document may contain analysis of commercial data relating to revenues, productivity and headcount of financial services organisations (together with any other commercial information set out in the Document). The Document may also include statements, estimates and projections with respect to the anticipated future performance of certain companies and as to the market for those companies' products and services.

The Document does not constitute (or purport to constitute) an accurate or complete representation of past or future activities of the businesses or companies considered in it but rather is designed to only highlight the trends. This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a comprehensive Document on the financial state of any business or company. The Document represents the views of Crisil Coalition Greenwich as on the date of the Document and Crisil Coalition Greenwich has no obligation to update or change it in the light of new or additional information or changed circumstances after submission of the Document.

This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a credit assessment or investment advice and should not form basis of any lending, investment or credit decision. This Document does not constitute nor form part of an offer or invitation to subscribe for, underwrite or purchase securities in any company. Nor should this Document, or any part of it, form the basis to be relied upon in any way in connection with any contract relating to any securities. The Document is not an investment analysis or research and is not subject to regulatory or legal obligations on the production of, or content of, investment analysis or research.

The data contained in the Document is based upon a particular bank's scope, which reflects a bank's data submission, business structure, and sales revenue Reporting methodology. As a result, any data contained in the Document may not be directly comparable to data presented to another bank. For franchise benchmarking, Crisil Coalition Greenwich has implemented equal ranking logic on aggregate results i.e., when sales revenues are within 5% of at least one competitor ahead, a tie is shown and designated by = (where actual ranks are shown). Entity level data has no equal ranking logic implemented and therefore, on occasion, the differences between rank bands can be very close mathematically.

The data in this Document may reflect the views reported to Crisil Coalition Greenwich by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Crisil Coalition Greenwich compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes to produce the final results.

THE DOCUMENT IS COMPILED FROM SOURCES CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH DISCLAIMS ALL REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING AS TO THE VALIDITY, ACCURACY, REASONABLENESS OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION, STATEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Crisil Coalition Greenwich is a part of Crisil Ltd., an S&P Global company. ©2025 Crisil Ltd. All rights reserved.

greenwich.com

ContactUs@greenwich.com

Ph +1203.625.5038