

U.S. Treasury Trading Market Structure (Back) in Focus

November 15, 2022 Executive Summary:

Our data suggests a market that has evolved to include a more diverse set of market participants and is more driven by investor, rather than dealer, trading

It wasn't long ago that U.S. Treasuries traded in only two marketplaces. Interdealer brokers, using humans or central limit order books (CLOBs), facilitated trades between primary dealers. Primary dealers, whether with humans or via request for quote (RFQ), facilitated trades between their balance sheets and global investors. The bifurcation was easy to understand and existed to accommodate the different trading needs of the parties involved in each market.

With the advantage of hindsight, we now know that those markets were woefully inefficient. To be fair, the U.S. Treasury market of 2006 was certainly more transparent and efficient than the Liar's Poker market of the 1980s. The post-financial crisis market of the early 2010s was still more efficient. Furthermore, the average daily volume in 2001 was \$298 billion—less than half of the \$600 billion average in 2021 and an even smaller fraction of the nearly \$1 trillion traded in a single day in September of 2022.

Despite this march forward, however, more efficiency can still be found. The RFQ model, albeit an increasingly automated and sophisticated RFQ, is still the most used, handling 32% of U.S. Treasury volume in September 2022. And CLOB markets, while now open to the buy side, still remain largely the realm of market makers, despite the growing influence of asset managers on market activity. While we do not have the luxury of recreating the market structure from scratch, knowing what we know today, innovative technology and a little help from regulators suggest the next step is upon us.

Methodology:

Coalition Greenwich interviewed 34 U.S. Treasury investors between Q4 2021 and Q1 2022, and 40 in a separate study in the second half of 2021. Via Greenwich MarketView, Coalition Greenwich aggregates and analyzes U.S. Treasury trading data on a monthly basis from FINRA, the Federal Reserve, the major trading platforms, and other data sources to calculate electronic trading levels, trading protocol usage and platform

market share. This report uses that data through September 2022.



www.greenwich.com | ContactUs@greenwich.com

Coalition Greenwich, a division of CRISIL, an S&P Global Company, is a leading global provider of strategic benchmarking, analytics and insights to the financial services industry.

We specialize in providing unique, high-value and actionable information to help our clients improve their business performance.

Our suite of analytics and insights encompass all key performance metrics and drivers: market share, revenue performance, client relationship share and quality, operational excellence, return on equity, behavioral drivers, and industry evolution.

About CRISIL

CRISIL is a leading, agile and innovative global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets function better. It is majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics, and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

CRISIL is India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics, and solutions with a strong record of growth, culture of innovation, and global footprint.

It has delivered independent opinions, actionable insights and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers through businesses that operate from India, the U.S., the U.K., Argentina, Poland, China, Hong Kong, and Singapore.

For more information, visit www.crisil.com

Disclaimer and Copyright

This Document is prepared by Crisil Coalition Greenwich, which is a part of Crisil Ltd, a company of S&P Global. All rights reserved. This Document may contain analysis of commercial data relating to revenues, productivity and headcount of financial services organisations (together with any other commercial information set out in the Document). The Document may also include statements, estimates and projections with respect to the anticipated future performance of certain companies and as to the market for those companies' products and services.

The Document does not constitute (or purport to constitute) an accurate or complete representation of past or future activities of the businesses or companies considered in it but rather is designed to only highlight the trends. This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a comprehensive Document on the financial state of any business or company. The Document represents the views of Crisil Coalition Greenwich as on the date of the Document and Crisil Coalition Greenwich has no obligation to update or change it in the light of new or additional information or changed circumstances after submission of the Document.

This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a credit assessment or investment advice and should not form basis of any lending, investment or credit decision. This Document does not constitute nor form part of an offer or invitation to subscribe for, underwrite or purchase securities in any company. Nor should this Document, or any part of it, form the basis to be relied upon in any way in connection with any contract relating to any securities. The Document is not an investment analysis or research and is not subject to regulatory or legal obligations on the production of, or content of, investment analysis or research.

The data contained in the Document is based upon a particular bank's scope, which reflects a bank's data submission, business structure, and sales revenue Reporting methodology. As a result, any data contained in the Document may not be directly comparable to data presented to another bank. For franchise benchmarking, Crisil Coalition Greenwich has implemented equal ranking logic on aggregate results i.e., when sales revenues are within 5% of at least one competitor ahead, a tie is shown and designated by = (where actual ranks are shown). Entity level data has no equal ranking logic implemented and therefore, on occasion, the differences between rank bands can be very close mathematically.

The data in this Document may reflect the views reported to Crisil Coalition Greenwich by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Crisil Coalition Greenwich compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes to produce the final results.

THE DOCUMENT IS COMPILED FROM SOURCES CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE.

CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH DISCLAIMS ALL REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED,
WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING AS TO THE VALIDITY, ACCURACY, REASONABLENESS OR
COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION, STATEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, ANY
WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF
ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR
ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL
OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Crisil Coalition Greenwich is a part of Crisil Ltd., an S&P Global company. ©2025 Crisil Ltd. All rights reserved.

greenwich.com

ContactUs@greenwich.com

Ph +1203.625.5038