


Corporate Banks Advocate for Universal ESG Reporting Rules

August 24, 2023

Corporate banks should seize the opportunity to take on a more aggressive leadership role in pushing for a unified and simpler framework for environmental, social and governance (ESG) reporting. That's the message we're hearing in conversations with bankers at some of the world's biggest corporate banks—and from executives at the companies those banks serve.

The vast majority of large companies around the world have adopted ESG in at least some capacity. In Europe, 9 out of 10 large corporates have established ESG goals and targets, as have 80% of large companies in the United States and about 70% in Asia.

Proportion of Companies Having Any ESG Targets or Goals



Note: European corporates with sales of at least €2 billion in Europe, \$500 million in Asia and \$2 billion in the United States. Based 267 respondents in Europe, 554 in Asia, and 101 in the U.S. for 2021, and 278 respondents in Europe, 568 in Asia and 119 in the U.S. for 2022.

Source: Coalition Greenwich Voice of Client – 2022 Global Large Corporate Banking Study

But in all those regions, companies' efforts to integrate ESG standards into their businesses are being hampered by a lack of any universal, or even consistent, framework for measuring and reporting ESG. Companies face a confusing morass of regulations, reporting standards, data sources, and methodologies put forth by governments, non-governmental organizations, ratings agencies, data providers, industry consortiums, and other players.

Although the European Union is trying to establish common ESG standards with initiatives like SFDR (Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation), the EU Taxonomy, and the CSDR (Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive), at the moment, multinational companies have no way to determine which specific ESG standards, data and methodologies will win out, and which will fall by the wayside. That makes it difficult for

companies to make the kinds of investments and process alterations necessary to integrate ESG into their workflows and funding structures.

Headwinds and “Green-Hushing”

In fact, the ambiguity surrounding reporting methodologies may be leading certain companies, and even banks themselves, to deliberately delay their adoption of ESG practices. Choosing the wrong reporting regime can have consequences that go beyond money lost to investments in frameworks that fall out of favor. Corporates and financial institutions alike are concerned that their lack of understanding about the ins and outs of ESG reporting techniques could expose them to the risk of “greenwashing” accusations if the company experienced some crisis related to environmental, social or governance issues.

In the industry, this phenomenon has become known as “green-hushing,” or intentionally underreporting on ESG to avoid running afoul of ambiguous standards. Unfortunately, these behaviors are likely to persist, creating headwinds to progress on ESG issues until industry, government and other constituents establish more-reliable norms.

For that reason, bankers and corporate executives are urging one another to coalesce around a single initiative or organization that can speak with a unified voice to regulators in jurisdictions around the world to push for a simple and consistent framework for ESG measurement and reporting.

Banks Are Pushing ESG Ahead

Banks have already proven their effectiveness in helping advance ESG in specific areas of corporate finance. In fact, for most large companies, the adoption of sustainable finance products represents one of the primary ESG accomplishments to date. Around the world, nearly 45% of large corporates have issued green or sustainable bonds, and 35% are using green, sustainable or ESG-linked loans.

Today, banks are helping corporates in Europe, North America and Asia implement sustainable supply chain finance, which roughly 1 in 5 large corporates currently use. Going forward, banks are positioned to play a prominent role in working to build out ESG solutions in corporate finance, treasury, capital markets (including emissions trading and carbon credits), mergers and acquisitions, and other areas.

[Companies are eager for their banks to assume that role.](#) In the U.S., for example, 84% of large corporates say they want their banks to utilize their ESG experience and expertise to act as educators and advisors, and two-thirds of companies are looking to their banks for guidance on future regulatory changes. Around the world, about half of companies want their banks to go a step further and provide direct financial incentives for becoming more sustainable.

Expected Support from Banks on ESG Journey

Europe		Asia		U.S.	
60%	Act as educator and advisor by demonstrating strong expertise	53%	Provide product and services to support sustainable projects	84%	Act as educator and advisor by demonstrating strong expertise
56%	Provide financial incentives for becoming more sustainable	51%	Provide financial incentives for becoming more sustainable	66%	Advise on and anticipate regulatory changes and elaborate on impacts
44%	Advise on and anticipate regulatory changes and elaborate on impacts	47%	Advise on and anticipate regulatory changes and elaborate on impacts	62%	Provide product and services to support sustainable projects
31%	Provide product and services to support sustainable projects	36%	Act as educator and advisor by demonstrating strong expertise	47%	Provide financial incentives for becoming more sustainable

Note: European corporates with sales of at least €2 billion in Europe, \$500 million in Asia and \$2 billion in the U.S. Based on 278 respondents in Europe, 269 in Asia and 104 in the U.S.

Source: Coalition Greenwich Voice of Client – 2022 Global Large Corporate Banking Study

Meeting these requests from companies will give banks a series of concrete steps they can take in their daily work to make a positive impact on critical ESG issues—while also deepening their client relationships. However, due to their position at the nexus of corporate C-suites, financial markets and regulators, banks could have an even bigger impact by aggressively advocating for the creation of a simple, universal reporting framework that makes it easier and more economically attractive for companies to adopt and meet ESG goals.

Key Products and Solutions for Banks to Accelerate the Development of ESG

1 Financing Solutions

- Sustainable loans from banks or capital markets: green/social loans, sustainability-linked loans
- Project finance for sustainable projects

4 Global Markets Products

- Sustainable global markets products: derivatives, hedges, structured products, repos, etc.
- Emissions trading and carbon credits, linked to voluntary carbon markets

2 Treasury Solutions

- Sustainable cash management solutions: green deposits, sustainability-linked deposits
- Suitable supply chain finance
- Sustainable/green trade finance solutions: green letter of credit, green/social standby letters of credit, green guarantees, etc.



3 Asset Management

- ESG funds

5 Research and Advisory

- Equity investor trends and research
- M&A advisory
- ESG solutions and advice: disclosure, strategy, ratings, standard and account, market and regulatory insights
- ESG as a service: reporting management tools and solutions (e.g. carbon footprint calculator, disclosure/reporting tools)

Source: Coalition Greenwich Voice of Client – 2023 ESG in Corporate Banking Study

Voice of the Client—ESG in Corporate Banking

As part of a broader ESG Research initiative, Coalition Greenwich developed a Sustainability Tracker for Large Corporate Banking. This research is helping banks understand the importance corporates are assigning towards a more sustainable business model, with a particular focus on the transition to net-zero. The study explores corporates' expectations and experience with banking providers and product needs.

Banks should contact us to learn more about this research offering and timeline, including how to receive these data-driven insights.

Corporates who wish to share their perceptions can do so by reaching out to us at contactus@greenwich.com. In recognition for sharing their perspective, participants will receive access to the Greenwich Exchange, an experience and source for connecting financial professionals to industry insights. This access includes robust, trended and actionable data to inform decision-making and enhance relationships with banks.

Tobias Miarka, Melanie Casalis and Ana Voicila are the authors of this publication.

Coalition Greenwich, a division of CRISIL, an S&P Global Company, is a leading global provider of strategic benchmarking, analytics and insights to the financial services industry.

We specialize in providing unique, high-value and actionable information to help our clients improve their business performance.

Our suite of analytics and insights encompass all key performance metrics and drivers: market share, revenue performance, client relationship share and quality, operational excellence, return on equity, behavioral drivers, and industry evolution.

About CRISIL

CRISIL is a leading, agile and innovative global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets function better. It is majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics, and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

CRISIL is India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics, and solutions with a strong record of growth, culture of innovation, and global footprint.

It has delivered independent opinions, actionable insights and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers through businesses that operate from India, the U.S., the U.K., Argentina, Poland, China, Hong Kong, and Singapore.

For more information, visit www.crisil.com

Disclaimer and Copyright

This Document is prepared by Crisil Coalition Greenwich, which is a part of Crisil Ltd, a company of S&P Global. All rights reserved. This Document may contain analysis of commercial data relating to revenues, productivity and headcount of financial services organisations (together with any other commercial information set out in the Document). The Document may also include statements, estimates and projections with respect to the anticipated future performance of certain companies and as to the market for those companies' products and services.

The Document does not constitute (or purport to constitute) an accurate or complete representation of past or future activities of the businesses or companies considered in it but rather is designed to only highlight the trends. This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a comprehensive Document on the financial state of any business or company. The Document represents the views of Crisil Coalition Greenwich as on the date of the Document and Crisil Coalition Greenwich has no obligation to update or change it in the light of new or additional information or changed circumstances after submission of the Document.

This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a credit assessment or investment advice and should not form basis of any lending, investment or credit decision. This Document does not constitute nor form part of an offer or invitation to subscribe for, underwrite or purchase securities in any company. Nor should this

Document, or any part of it, form the basis to be relied upon in any way in connection with any contract relating to any securities. The Document is not an investment analysis or research and is not subject to regulatory or legal obligations on the production of, or content of, investment analysis or research.

The data contained in the Document is based upon a particular bank's scope, which reflects a bank's data submission, business structure, and sales revenue Reporting methodology. As a result, any data contained in the Document may not be directly comparable to data presented to another bank. For franchise benchmarking, Crisil Coalition Greenwich has implemented equal ranking logic on aggregate results i.e., when sales revenues are within 5% of at least one competitor ahead, a tie is shown and designated by = (where actual ranks are shown). Entity level data has no equal ranking logic implemented and therefore, on occasion, the differences between rank bands can be very close mathematically.

The data in this Document may reflect the views reported to Crisil Coalition Greenwich by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Crisil Coalition Greenwich compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes to produce the final results.

THE DOCUMENT IS COMPILED FROM SOURCES CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH DISCLAIMS ALL REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING AS TO THE VALIDITY, ACCURACY, REASONABLENESS OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION, STATEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Crisil Coalition Greenwich is a part of Crisil Ltd., an S&P Global company. ©2025 Crisil Ltd. All rights reserved.