


Outsourced Trading – A Tipping Point?

August 1, 2024

A recent Coalition Greenwich study of asset managers revealed a surprising trend: 10% of them have paid trading commissions to outsourced trading (OT) platforms over the past year. This finding may raise eyebrows, as the buy side has traditionally been hesitant to admit to using such providers due to concerns about cannibalization and compatibility with best execution requirements.

The study, based on responses from 103 buy-side equity traders globally in the fall of 2023, found that 7% of respondents paid commissions to OT providers ranging up to \$3 million, while 3% paid more. This got us wondering: Has outsourced trading reached a tipping point where it's no longer a niche service but, instead, a mainstream solution in the spirit of workflow automation?

Trading Commissions by Channel



Note: Based on 103 respondents.

Source: Coalition Greenwich Voice of Client – 2023 Market Structure and Trading Technology Study

A Quickly Institutionalized Niche

What’s driving this acceptance? While OT was initially designed for emerging hedge funds, we’ve spoken to several large and well-established managers who have also embraced the idea. They use it to gain access to liquidity, research and market intelligence that they might not have internally or through their traditional sell-side providers. "We help them see what they don't see on their own," one outsourced representative told us.

This sentiment was echoed by a buy-side head trader, who praised the ability of outsourced providers to offer access to extra sell-side research that's out of reach directly. Their desk views outsourced providers as an execution broker who gives them access to desired research.

Of course, not everyone is convinced. Some OT providers took umbrage with the notion that they're providing research to non-paying clients. One independent outsourced head was particularly offended, stating they would never try to disintermediate brokers by distributing research to non-paying buy-side clients. "We'd be foolish to compromise a sell-side relationship.", he added.

The concept of trading discretion often comes up when talking about OT. One buy-side head called it "the most perishable thing" and wondered how outsourced desks could preserve the flexibility of discretion the way only internal desks can. Other traders agreed, arguing only in-house traders, in continuous contact with their portfolio managers, can build real relationships and earn discretion around how they trade their orders.

Perhaps surprisingly, one outsourced trading executive agreed, saying they'd rather face off with an internal trader (rather than portfolio manager) who can shepherd investment information throughout the day to the investment team. "We become a lever and empower them, providing a path to information and liquidity."



Each day, you come in and perform. You support the business through market insight, garnering research, gaining color, and understanding events. That's where the magic is—that's what we're fighting to protect.

– Buy-Side Head of Trading



We'd be foolish to compromise a sell-side relationship.

– Outsourced Trading Provider



Expanding Choices

With over 40 firms claiming to offer OT services, the buy side is now faced with a daunting array of choices. It's an omnivore's dilemma—many options may look appealing, but it's hard to know which one will truly satisfy their needs.

One provider noted their niche has become institutionalized, but that doesn't mean all platforms are created equal. Many newer entrants may struggle to gain traction—building relationships with the sell side takes time and effort. Several successful platforms pointed out that it's not just about having the budget for technology; it's about having the scale to hire top talent.

But there's a chicken-and-egg problem here, too. Providers need scale to attract and retain quality traders, but they can't offer competitive compensation without that scale. As one outsourced provider put it, "It's easy

to say you're in the outsourced trading space, but it's incredibly hard to scale and succeed."

Jesse Forster is the author of this publication.

www.greenwich.com | ContactUs@greenwich.com

Coalition Greenwich, a division of CRISIL, an S&P Global Company, is a leading global provider of strategic benchmarking, analytics and insights to the financial services industry.

We specialize in providing unique, high-value and actionable information to help our clients improve their business performance.

Our suite of analytics and insights encompass all key performance metrics and drivers: market share, revenue performance, client relationship share and quality, operational excellence, return on equity, behavioral drivers, and industry evolution.

About CRISIL

CRISIL is a leading, agile and innovative global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets function better. It is majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics, and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

CRISIL is India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics, and solutions with a strong record of growth, culture of innovation, and global footprint.

It has delivered independent opinions, actionable insights and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers through businesses that operate from India, the U.S., the U.K., Argentina, Poland, China, Hong Kong, and Singapore.

For more information, visit www.crisil.com

Disclaimer and Copyright

This Document is prepared by Crisil Coalition Greenwich, which is a part of Crisil Ltd, a company of S&P Global. All rights reserved. This Document may contain analysis of commercial data relating to revenues, productivity and headcount of financial services organisations (together with any other commercial information set out in the Document). The Document may also include statements, estimates and projections with respect to the anticipated future performance of certain companies and as to the market for those companies' products and services.

The Document does not constitute (or purport to constitute) an accurate or complete representation of past or future activities of the businesses or companies considered in it but rather is designed to only highlight the trends. This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a comprehensive Document on the financial state of any business or company. The Document represents the views of Crisil Coalition Greenwich as on the date of the Document and Crisil Coalition Greenwich has no obligation to update or change it in the light of new or additional information or changed circumstances after submission of the Document.

This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a credit assessment or investment advice and should not form basis of any lending, investment or credit decision. This Document does not constitute nor form part of an offer or invitation to subscribe for, underwrite or purchase securities in any company. Nor should this Document, or any part of it, form the basis to be relied upon in any way in connection with any contract relating to any securities. The Document is not an investment analysis or research and is not subject to regulatory or legal obligations on the production of, or content of, investment analysis or research.

The data contained in the Document is based upon a particular bank's scope, which reflects a bank's data submission, business structure, and sales revenue Reporting methodology. As a result, any data contained in the Document may not be directly comparable to data presented to another bank. For franchise benchmarking, Crisil Coalition Greenwich has implemented equal ranking logic on aggregate results i.e., when sales revenues are within 5% of at least one competitor ahead, a tie is shown and designated by = (where actual ranks are shown). Entity level data has no equal ranking logic implemented and therefore, on occasion, the differences between rank bands can be very close mathematically.

The data in this Document may reflect the views reported to Crisil Coalition Greenwich by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Crisil Coalition Greenwich compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes to produce the final results.

THE DOCUMENT IS COMPILED FROM SOURCES CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH DISCLAIMS ALL REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING AS TO THE VALIDITY, ACCURACY, REASONABLENESS OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION, STATEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Crisil Coalition Greenwich is a part of Crisil Ltd., an S&P Global company. ©2026 Crisil Ltd. All rights reserved.