

Municipal bond trading in 2024 by the numbers

2024 Data Spotlight: Municipal Bond Trading

February 10, 2025



Source: Greenwich MarketView, MarketAxess

Volume

Municipal bond trading activity remained robust in 2024 with an average daily notional volume (ADNV) traded of \$13.1 billion. This trading activity matched 2023 and was slightly down from 2022, but was still nearly 50%

higher than pre-rate hike 2021. A 32% growth in new issuance to \$508 billion¹ and net mutual fund/ETF inflows of \$45.7 billion kept traders busy throughout the year.



Municipal bond trading volume

Source: Greenwich MarketView

The average daily trade count hit a record in 2024, reaching 57,517—a nearly 10% year-over-year increase. The growth of muni separately managed accounts (SMAs) and electronic trading adoption both played a part, with one supporting the growth of the other. Muni assets in SMAs grew an impressive 14% year over year to \$1.6 trillion, according to Bloomberg data, reversing a 5% year-over-year decline in 2023. SMA assets managed by retail-focused managers grew faster than institutional SMAs, with the former now accounting for 53% of assets, up from 45% in 2019. Retail investors are drawn to shrinking account minimums and active management, while wealth managers enjoy earning fees higher than the nearly zero they generate from index-tracking ETFs.

While yields approaching forward-looking equity market returns are the main drive of investor demand, the electronification of the market is what has allowed SMA adoption by the high-net-worth masses. E-trading and related technology has allowed fully automated management of these accounts in some cases, where portfolios can be rebalanced and the needed changes executed with little or no human intervention. This creates a high-value service for investors and a low cost of doing business for managers.

Municipal bond average trade size



Source: Greenwich MarketView

Electronic trading

While SMA expansion was facilitated by e-trading's growth in the muni market, some of e-trading's growth in the last two years can be attributed to the growth of SMAs. E-trading accounted for 18.4% of notional volume traded in 2024, compared to 17.7% in 2023. A growing number of dealers are auto-quoting an increasingly large list of muni CUSIPs while the bleeding-edge buy-side firms are trading more volume via EMS front ends connected to liquidity venues via API. And while the move upward in e-trading is small in percentage terms, such growth is similar to the trajectory of the corporate bond market over the past decade, where e-trading now accounts for 44% of volume.

Municipal bonds—% of notional volume traded electronically



Source: Greenwich MarketView

The likely reason for the Q4 jump in e-trading levels in 2023 and 2024 is also worth noting. In September 2023, the Fed paused its rate-hiking cycle, which was then followed two months later by lower than expected inflation prints. In late 2024, we saw the first Fed rate hike in several years, alongside Presidential election and inflation news. In both cases, market activity picked up notably due to the change and/or uncertainty. Immature electronic markets generally see volume retreat to the phone when markets get busy, but that is not what we saw in munis these past two years, with e-trading peaking in both years in Q4, which suggests more of the same is to come.

Platform market share

Unsurprisingly, there is fierce competition to capture this e-trading growth and encourage more of it. While the market share picture changed little year-over-year, we cannot assume past performance guarantees future results.

Bloomberg handled 45% of e-traded volume in 2024 as the largest volume player. Their activity is nearly all institutional dealer-to-client trading. The majority of the buy side uses the MBW screen, and most dealers supply prices via API. Entering quotes into MBWD via point-and-click doesn't cut it anymore.

ICE Bonds (still often referred to as TMC) and Tradeweb collectively control the retail market, although we're seeing more institutional traders tap into these liquidity pools for quick, click-to-trade liquidity. Although our research suggests ICE's ADNV is slightly ahead of Tradeweb's, Tradeweb reported the best year-over-year volume growth in 2024 at just over 6%. Click-to-trade is becoming a better label for this market segment than "retail" or "odd-lots," as institutional dealers are increasingly providing liquidity here, while institutional managers are finding that click-to-trade liquidity nicely supplements block-trading via RFQ.

Interestingly, Bloomberg muni users can access liquidity from both ICE and Tradeweb in MDW, with the top-ofbook prices on those markets listed alongside those of other brokers. This means trades executed on ICE or Tradeweb via Bloomberg are "counted" by both platforms as volume, which is technically correct. Nevertheless, data suggests this activity accounts for a relatively small amount of total e-volume, and so is more-or-less inconsequential when thinking about total e-trading levels.

Municipal bond e-trading market share—2024



Note: Includes dealer-to-dealer and dealer-to-client volume. Includes non-taxable and taxable municipal bond volume. Source: Greenwich MarketView MarketAxess' offering includes dealer-to-client and dealer-to-dealer liquidity pools, the latter of which was boosted by their acquisition of MuniBrokers in 2020. Also worth noting, roughly 43% of their notional trading

volume is in taxable munis,² up from about 38% in early 2021. To put that in perspective, taxable munis represent about 10% of all muni CUSIPs today. MarketAxess' successful history in all-to-all trading suggests its muni markets could benefit from a similar approach over time. And keeping with the market linkages theme we just discussed, MarketAxess' deal with ICE allowed both platforms to route orders to the other if the given client's best outcome is to be had on the other platform.

ETFs

The role of ETFs as a trading vehicle in the muni market is small but growing. We can see this by measuring the notional equivalent volume of the largest muni ETFs and dividing that by the volume traded in the underlying muni market—the ETF-to-cash ratio. While this metric has actually dropped over the past two years, we see 2022 as an anomaly and focus on the growth from 2% in 2019 to over 6% in 2024. Inflows into muni ETFs do play a part in trading volume, as does general market volatility. But the real catalyst will be the entrance of more systematic market makers using ETFs and the create-redeem process to manage risk and facilitate market making activity, similar to what we've seen in credit markets. This would improve muni ETF liquidity, which would further encourage asset managers to use ETFs as a tool to get beta exposure while seeking out the bonds they need or to put on a quick hedge. Over the longer term, a liquid options-on-muni-ETFs market could also offer a much needed shorting mechanism.



Municipal bond ETF-to-cash ratio

Source: Greenwich MarketView

2025

The electronification of the muni market is underway and will continue in the year ahead. History suggests that growth is generally slow and steady, but market shocks, strong investor demand for workflow improvements and trading protocol innovation from trading venues can all cause surges in growth. In Muniland, its always necessary to mention the million CUSIP problem, which suggests that the universe is so

big that only a small portion could ever qualify as truly liquid. However, other fixed-income markets have shown that even illiquid bonds can be traded more efficiently via electronic means, even if only one buyer and one seller exist in the world. Expect to see more innovation in that workflow in the years ahead.

Talk of removing the tax-exempt status of the muni market has grown as the new administration in Washington works toward a new tax bill. While those we've heard from think the likelihood of this is low, the probability remains non-zero and so must be taken seriously. Munis without a tax exemption look almost like corporate bonds. There is nothing inherently wrong with that, although it could lessen the demand for existing and future muni issues if they have no way to stand out in a crowded field.

Market Structure & Technology Head of Research <u>Kevin McPartland</u> and Associate Vice President Neha Jain are co-authors of this report.

¹MSRB - <u>https://www.msrb.org/sites/default/files/2025-01/MSRB-2024-Municipal-Market-Year-in-Review.pdf</u>

²MarketAxess -

https://s201.q4cdn.com/767283836/files/doc_financials/2024/q3/3Q_2024-Earnings_Deck_Final.pdf

The data underlying this analysis, which includes but is not limited to e-trading levels, platform market share and total market volumes, is available to subscribers of Greenwich MarketView. MarketView provides continuous access to these metrics, updated at least monthly, based on the frequency of the source data.

Where to Access MarketView

My Content	All Reports	All Market Trends	MarketView	All Content	Saved Content	My Access	
By Coverage Area:		By Topic:		By Type:		Subscribed to Equities, Fixed Income,	
- Any -	•	- Any -	•	- Any -	•	FX, Market Structure & Technology	
By Region:		By Keyword:					
- Any -	•	Type here	•			MV	
Search Res	set					MARKETVIEW	

Methodology:

Crisil Coalition Greenwich continuously gathers data and insights from municipal bond market participants, including market makers, primary dealers and trading platforms. The data, once aggregated, normalized and enhanced, is analyzed by our market structure research team, who identify the key trends of trading in the muni markets, with a focus on muni bond electronic trading and trading platform market share.



www.greenwich.com | ContactUs@greenwich.com

Coalition Greenwich, a division of CRISIL, an S&P Global Company, is a leading global provider of strategic benchmarking, analytics and insights to the financial services industry.

We specialize in providing unique, high-value and actionable information to help our clients improve their business performance.

Our suite of analytics and insights encompass all key performance metrics and drivers: market share, revenue performance, client relationship share and quality, operational excellence, return on equity, behavioral drivers, and industry evolution.

About CRISIL

CRISIL is a leading, agile and innovative global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets function better. It is majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics, and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

CRISIL is India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics, and solutions with a strong record of growth, culture of innovation, and global footprint.

It has delivered independent opinions, actionable insights and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers through businesses that operate from India, the U.S., the U.K., Argentina, Poland, China, Hong Kong, and Singapore.

For more information, visit <u>www.crisil.com</u>

Disclaimer and Copyright

This Document is prepared by Crisil Coalition Greenwich, which is a part of Crisil Ltd, a company of S&P Global. All rights reserved. This Document may contain analysis of commercial data relating to revenues, productivity and headcount of financial services organisations (together with any other commercial information set out in the Document). The Document may also include statements, estimates and projections with respect to the anticipated future performance of certain companies and as to the market for those companies' products and services.

The Document does not constitute (or purport to constitute) an accurate or complete representation of past or future activities of the businesses or companies considered in it but rather is designed to only highlight the trends. This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a comprehensive Document on the financial state of any business or company. The Document represents the views of Crisil Coalition Greenwich as on the date of the Document and Crisil Coalition Greenwich has no obligation to update or change it in the light of new or additional information or changed circumstances after submission of the Document.

This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a credit assessment or investment advice and should not form basis of any lending, investment or credit decision. This Document does not constitute nor form part of an offer or invitation to subscribe for, underwrite or purchase securities in any company. Nor should this Document, or any part of it, form the basis to be relied upon in any way in connection with any contract relating to any securities. The Document is not an investment analysis or research and is not subject to regulatory or legal obligations on the production of, or content of, investment analysis or research.

The data contained in the Document is based upon a particular bank's scope, which reflects a bank's data submission, business structure, and sales revenue Reporting methodology. As a result, any data contained in the Document may not be directly comparable to data presented to another bank. For franchise benchmarking, Crisil Coalition Greenwich has implemented equal ranking logic on aggregate results i.e., when sales revenues are within 5% of at least one competitor ahead, a tie is shown and designated by = (where actual ranks are shown). Entity level data has no equal ranking logic implemented and therefore, on occasion, the differences between rank bands can be very close mathematically.

The data in this Document may reflect the views reported to Crisil Coalition Greenwich by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Crisil Coalition Greenwich compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes to produce the final results.

THE DOCUMENT IS COMPILED FROM SOURCES CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH DISCLAIMS ALL REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING AS TO THE VALIDITY, ACCURACY, REASONABLENESS OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION, STATEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Crisil Coalition Greenwich is a part of Crisil Ltd., an S&P Global company. ©2025 Crisil Ltd. All rights reserved.

greenwich.com

ContactUs@greenwich.com

Ph +1203.625.5038