

Total Cost Analysis of Interest-Rate Swaps vs. Futures

March 9, 2015

Executive Summary:

Recent research by Greenwich Associates reveals that investors will increasingly look to futures as the most cost-efficient method of expressing their views on interest rates.

Regulatory impacts, including higher margin requirements for swaps, will have a greater impact on the total cost of the trade in the coming years, as clients have less eligible collateral on hand. Furthermore, the liquidity cost gap between swaps and futures will likely widen as banks find it more difficult to make money trading cleared swaps.

The research also indicates that swaps, both cleared and bilateral, will continue to have their place, and those markets will continue to be robust, albeit on a smaller scale than before the crisis.

Many market participants are willing to pay up for customization that the swaps market allows, and the research shows that the cost differentials will still allow those in this camp to continue on as is.

Methodology:


The core of this study is based on a proprietary quantitative model designed to analyze the variation in costs associated with trading various interest-rate futures products as compared to comparable cleared swaps. The model calculates the cost of opening a position, maintaining that position and then closing out that position. The costs of each are encompassed in four cost buckets:

- **Liquidity:** Defined broadly as the bid-ask spread for the given instrument
- **Initial Margin and Funding Costs:** Includes the amount of initial margin that must be posted by product and the cost of funding that initial margin
- **FCM Fees:** Execution fees, clearing fees and capital usage fees charged by the futures commission merchant
- **CCP Fees:** Exchange, execution and clearing fees charged by the relevant clearinghouse

In an effort to validate the model, determine the correct inputs and define the most realistic scenarios, Greenwich Associates conducted a total of 42 telephone interviews between September and December 2014 with portfolio managers, traders, and other interest rate trading experts. With responses relatively consistent across the respondent base, we felt this sample size sufficiently reflected the market's views. The interviews were conducted in two distinct phases, during which we validated both our assumptions and our methodology.

Study participants provided average bid-ask spreads for various cleared-swap trades, the frequency at which they execute futures at the mid-point, FCM clearing fees, the amount of initial margin they currently finance, and several other quantitative and qualitative data points. These results were used to create several scenarios aimed at identifying where futures provide a valid (and in some cases obvious) alternative to cleared swaps and where current trading habits are best left intact.

Respondent Profile by Investor Type



Note: Based on 42 respondents.

Source: Greenwich Associates 2015 Total Cost Analysis of Interest-Rate Swaps vs. Futures Study

Coalition Greenwich
A division of CRISIL

www.greenwich.com | ContactUs@greenwich.com

Coalition Greenwich, a division of CRISIL, an S&P Global Company, is a leading global provider of strategic benchmarking, analytics and insights to the financial services industry.

We specialize in providing unique, high-value and actionable information to help our clients improve their business performance.

Our suite of analytics and insights encompass all key performance metrics and drivers: market share, revenue performance, client relationship share and quality, operational excellence, return on equity, behavioral drivers, and industry evolution.

About CRISIL

CRISIL is a leading, agile and innovative global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets function better. It is majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics, and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

CRISIL is India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics, and solutions with a strong record of growth, culture of innovation, and global footprint.

It has delivered independent opinions, actionable insights and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers through businesses that operate from India, the U.S., the U.K., Argentina, Poland, China, Hong Kong, and Singapore.

For more information, visit www.crisil.com

Disclaimer and Copyright

This Document is prepared by Coalition Greenwich, which is a part of CRISIL Ltd, an S&P Global company. All rights reserved. This Document may contain analysis of commercial data relating to revenues, productivity and headcount of financial services organisations (together with any other commercial information set out in the Document). The Document may also include statements, estimates and projections with respect to the anticipated future performance of certain companies and as to the market for those companies' products and services.

The Document does not constitute (or purport to constitute) an accurate or complete representation of past or future activities of the businesses or companies considered in it but rather is designed to only highlight the trends. This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a comprehensive Document on the financial state of any business or company. The Document represents the views of Coalition Greenwich as on the date of the Document and Coalition Greenwich has no obligation to update or change it in the light of new or additional information or changed circumstances after submission of the Document.

This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a credit assessment or investment advice and should not form basis of any lending, investment or credit decision. This Document does not constitute nor form part of an offer or invitation to subscribe for, underwrite or purchase securities in any company. Nor should this Document, or any part of it, form the basis to be relied upon in any way in connection with any contract relating to any securities. The Document is not an investment analysis or research and is not subject to regulatory or legal obligations on the production of, or content of, investment analysis or research.

The data in this Document may reflect the views reported to Coalition Greenwich by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Coalition Greenwich compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes to produce the final results.

THE DOCUMENT IS COMPILED FROM SOURCES COALITION GREENWICH BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE. COALITION GREENWICH DISCLAIMS ALL REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING AS TO THE VALIDITY, ACCURACY, REASONABLENESS OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION, STATEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT. COALITION GREENWICH ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Coalition Greenwich is a part of CRISIL Ltd, an S&P Global company. ©2024 CRISIL Ltd. All rights reserved.

