

# A Digital Currency the Banks Can Get Behind

June 13, 2016

The financial services sector first started paying attention to bitcoin in 2014, but quickly decided that it was the underlying technology – the blockchain – that interested them most. There are many reasons large banks give to explain why they will not support the bitcoin: *It's used for criminal activities; The network is supported by shadowy entities called miners; We're not putting our data on a public network that everyone has access to; It has a high risk of being used in money laundering...* Jamie Dimon, one of the most outspoken critics has said that “Bitcoin the currency, is going nowhere” but then he went on to say in support of the blockchain, “The technology will be used, and it could be used to transport currency, but it will be dollars, not bitcoins.”

Hold that thought for a second... could the dollar, or any other fiat currency, be digitized in a similar way to bitcoin? In fact that was one of the topics discussed at a Federal Reserve Conference last week entitled “Finance in Flux: The Technological Transformation of the Financial Sector.” The event featured central bankers from 90 different countries and included an address by Janet Yellen in which she encouraged the central bankers to learn about blockchain and other innovative technologies.

The advantages of a ‘digital dollar’ or ‘Fedcoin’ are significant. We already pay for most things electronically anyway using a credit or debit card or increasingly our smartphone. But these mechanisms aren’t actually moving money, rather they are instructing different financial institutions to financial ledgers. This process can take a few days and involves many intermediaries. Paying with Fedcoin would be like taking a dollar bill out of your wallet and giving it to the shopkeeper. Settlement and the transfer of ownership would be instantaneous.

It would also streamline the billions of dollars of money flows that occur each day between banks and the Federal Reserve, and give the central bank greater insight into the liquidity of the banks it regulates – something that was sorely lacking in the run up to the 2008 financial crisis.

But perhaps the biggest bang for the buck (pardon the pun!) could come from the new powers that the central bank would have over monetary policy. For the last several years the Federal Reserve and other central banks have been stimulating the economy via a process of quantitative easing. This is a crude tool that aims to inject money into the economy by buying bonds from banks. The expectation is that the banks will then take that money and make loans to business and consumers who will hire people and buy things. However, as we know, banks have been constrained in other areas and the \$3 trillion that the Fed has spent buy back bonds hasn’t necessarily made it to where it is need most.

Now if the Federal Reserve controlled a digital money supply it would have another powerful weapon in its arsenal. Rather than hoping that the new money supply trickles down to where it is needed, they could immediately increase the money supply by crediting the digital wallets of consumers like you and me. This would be much more effective even than helicopter money. It’s not hard to think of ways this could be further

enhanced to be more specific and targeted. For example, the Fed could issue Fedcoin tokens that expire after a period of time - this would ensure that stimulus funds are spent and not hoarded. Or they could selectively stimulate certain economically deprived areas or regions.

At this point, I imagine any libertarian leaning readers of this blog are beginning to break out in cold sweats and shudder at the idea of the government having so much control over your wallet. Fortunately for them, it seems like a central bank backed digital currency is a long way off. I spoke to Perianne Boring, President of the Chamber of Digital Commerce which helped organize the event. She told me that most of the central bankers in attendance were not really looking at this idea and that it was likely the first time it had been discussed. However, she also pointed out that any central bank that did embrace digital currencies would likely have a first mover advantage as investors reallocated to more efficient currencies.

*(If this kind of topic interests you, please check out our upcoming webinar on [Blockchain Adoption in Capital Markets](#))*

---

[www.greenwich.com](http://www.greenwich.com) | [ContactUs@greenwich.com](mailto:ContactUs@greenwich.com)

Coalition Greenwich, a division of CRISIL, an S&P Global Company, is a leading global provider of strategic benchmarking, analytics and insights to the financial services industry.

We specialize in providing unique, high-value and actionable information to help our clients improve their business performance.

Our suite of analytics and insights encompass all key performance metrics and drivers: market share, revenue performance, client relationship share and quality, operational excellence, return on equity, behavioral drivers, and industry evolution.

## About CRISIL

CRISIL is a leading, agile and innovative global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets function better. It is majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics, and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

CRISIL is India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics, and solutions with a strong record of growth, culture of innovation, and global footprint.

It has delivered independent opinions, actionable insights and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers through businesses that operate from India, the U.S., the U.K., Argentina, Poland, China, Hong Kong, and Singapore.

For more information, visit [www.crisil.com](http://www.crisil.com)

## Disclaimer and Copyright

This Document is prepared by Crisil Coalition Greenwich, which is a part of Crisil Ltd, a company of S&P Global. All rights reserved. This Document may contain analysis of commercial data relating to revenues, productivity and headcount of financial services organisations (together with any other commercial information set out in the Document). The Document may also include statements, estimates and projections with respect to the anticipated future performance of certain companies and as to the market for those companies' products and services.

The Document does not constitute (or purport to constitute) an accurate or complete representation of past or future activities of the businesses or companies considered in it but rather is designed to only highlight the trends. This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a comprehensive Document on the financial state of any business or company. The Document represents the views of Crisil Coalition Greenwich as on the date of the Document and Crisil Coalition Greenwich has no obligation to update or change it in the light of new or additional information or changed circumstances after submission of the Document.

This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a credit assessment or investment advice and should not form basis of any lending, investment or credit decision. This Document does not constitute nor form part of an offer or invitation to subscribe for, underwrite or purchase securities in any company. Nor should this Document, or any part of it, form the basis to be relied upon in any way in connection with any contract relating to any securities. The Document is not an investment analysis or research and is not subject to regulatory or legal obligations on the production of, or content of, investment analysis or research.

The data contained in the Document is based upon a particular bank's scope, which reflects a bank's data submission, business structure, and sales revenue Reporting methodology. As a result, any data contained in the Document may not be directly comparable to data presented to another bank. For franchise benchmarking, Crisil Coalition Greenwich has implemented equal ranking logic on aggregate results i.e., when sales revenues are within 5% of at least one competitor ahead, a tie is shown and designated by = (where actual ranks are shown). Entity level data has no equal ranking logic implemented and therefore, on occasion, the differences between rank bands can be very close mathematically.

The data in this Document may reflect the views reported to Crisil Coalition Greenwich by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Crisil Coalition Greenwich compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes to produce the final results.

THE DOCUMENT IS COMPILED FROM SOURCES CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH DISCLAIMS ALL REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING AS TO THE VALIDITY, ACCURACY, REASONABLENESS OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION, STATEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Crisil Coalition Greenwich is a part of Crisil Ltd., an S&P Global company. ©2025 Crisil Ltd. All rights reserved.