

Why Wall Street Needs Co-opetition

May 14, 2017

While market sizes are often measured in the trillions of dollars, the number of firms that are responsible for the majority of transactions is measured in the hundreds if not the teens. Examples of this are numerous. According to Greenwich Associates research, the top five dealer banks handle between half and two-thirds of investor trading in several markets including interest rate swaps, [U.S. government bonds](#), corporate bonds and foreign exchange. The buy side has also consolidated, with 1% of asset managers now managing nearly 20% of the world's invested wealth.

The FinTech landscape is similar. Three electronic trading platforms - Bloomberg, MarketAxess and Tradeweb - [handle over 90% of electronically traded corporate bonds globally](#), three swap execution facilities handled the majority of interest rate swaps trading by investors in the U.S. in April - [Bloomberg, Tradeweb and trueEX](#) - and even the U.S. equity market - infamous for its dozens of trading venues - sees three exchanges ([BATS, Nasdaq and NYSE](#)) handling over half of daily trading volume. And on a related note, the majority of data from those and other venues are delivered to users of that data by two providers.

It is debatable whether or not this is all good or bad, but it is simply a fact. When trying to buy or sell anything, the more participants you can gather in the smallest number of marketplaces the more efficiently you can match opposing interest. Just like social media, the platforms aren't effective unless everyone is on them. And for banks and investors, economies of scale are necessary due to regulatory complexity and beneficial to clients who often see better service offered at a lower cost.

Competition Must Exist

However, even if competition is concentrated, competition must exist. Even the largest market participants who receive the best service from providers of all stripes work to ensure that competition is alive and well in every facet of the business, bringing with it choice and innovation. This can come in the form of two or three offerings, where each has its' own focus and expertise, or via a long tail of smaller providers that are fast and nimble and keep the big guys on their toes. We've discussed this in previous research, pointing out the importance of startups to financial markets even though statistically speaking most of them will fail.

An interesting side effect of this environment is the strong presence of co-opetition in financial services - firms that compete in some businesses, but work together via partnerships or provider/consumer relationships in others. This might be a large investment bank looking to a large commercial bank for financing, or two technology companies providing each other data or services that ultimately benefits both sides. For instance, ICE licenses Markit's CDX and iTraxx indices. Yet ICE has a competing index business. Both firms also provide fixed-income security valuation services. In an industry where the major players are in control of a large percentage of the action, especially when essential services are involved, co-opetition is inevitable if not

required to ensure the clients are ultimately well served.

Co-opetition Is Required

That argument could be taken one step further, stating that co-opetition is in fact required in concentrated industries because, without it, monopolies would surely occur. And monopolies aren't good for anyone (well, except for the firm with the monopoly of course). A recent case in point is the argument at the center of [trueEX Group's recent lawsuit with Markit](#). trueEx needs to process trades via Markit to stay in business, but claim their launch of a competing service has driven Markit to cancel their access to those services. It, therefore, appears the U.S. justice system will give us the official word on whether co-opetition in the market is required to fend off monopolies.

A “may the best man win” approach has brought our financial markets to what they are today. The high levels of greed and low levels of morals of a small few individuals have certainly hurt the rest, but by and large, offerings that meet client demands within the guidelines set forth by regulators, legislators and the industry itself have ultimately changed the market for the better.

We shouldn't, however, expect every small startup to disrupt the large incumbents. Economies of scale, relationships and a “no one was ever fired for buying IBM” mentality will continue to make it harder for newcomers to become the next incumbent. The fact is that running a profitable business on Wall Street, whether an investment manager, swaps dealer or FinTech, isn't easy. As such, we're left with only a relatively small few who can make it all work. As I said earlier, concentration isn't necessarily good or bad – it just is. But if the concentration is all with only one firm, the industry should reassess its options.

www.greenwich.com | ContactUs@greenwich.com

Coalition Greenwich, a division of CRISIL, an S&P Global Company, is a leading global provider of strategic benchmarking, analytics and insights to the financial services industry.

We specialize in providing unique, high-value and actionable information to help our clients improve their business performance.

Our suite of analytics and insights encompass all key performance metrics and drivers: market share, revenue performance, client relationship share and quality, operational excellence, return on equity, behavioral drivers, and industry evolution.

About CRISIL

CRISIL is a leading, agile and innovative global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets function better. It is majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics, and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

CRISIL is India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics, and solutions with a strong record of growth, culture of innovation, and global footprint.

It has delivered independent opinions, actionable insights and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers through businesses that operate from India, the U.S., the U.K., Argentina, Poland, China, Hong Kong, and Singapore.

For more information, visit www.crisil.com

Disclaimer and Copyright

This Document is prepared by Crisil Coalition Greenwich, which is a part of Crisil Ltd, a company of S&P Global. All rights reserved. This Document may contain analysis of commercial data relating to revenues, productivity and headcount of financial services organisations (together with any other commercial information set out in the Document). The Document may also include statements, estimates and projections with respect to the anticipated future performance of certain companies and as to the market for those companies' products and services.

The Document does not constitute (or purport to constitute) an accurate or complete representation of past or future activities of the businesses or companies considered in it but rather is designed to only highlight the trends. This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a comprehensive Document on the financial state of any business or company. The Document represents the views of Crisil Coalition Greenwich as on the date of the Document and Crisil Coalition Greenwich has no obligation to update or change it in the light of new or additional information or changed circumstances after submission of the Document.

This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a credit assessment or investment advice and should not form basis of any lending, investment or credit decision. This Document does not constitute nor form part of an offer or invitation to subscribe for, underwrite or purchase securities in any company. Nor should this Document, or any part of it, form the basis to be relied upon in any way in connection with any contract relating to any securities. The Document is not an investment analysis or research and is not subject to regulatory or legal obligations on the production of, or content of, investment analysis or research.

The data contained in the Document is based upon a particular bank's scope, which reflects a bank's data submission, business structure, and sales revenue Reporting methodology. As a result, any data contained in the Document may not be directly comparable to data presented to another bank. For franchise benchmarking, Crisil Coalition Greenwich has implemented equal ranking logic on aggregate results i.e., when sales revenues are within 5% of at least one competitor ahead, a tie is shown and designated by = (where actual ranks are shown). Entity level data has no equal ranking logic implemented and therefore, on occasion, the differences between rank bands can be very close mathematically.

The data in this Document may reflect the views reported to Crisil Coalition Greenwich by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Crisil Coalition Greenwich compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes to produce the final results.

THE DOCUMENT IS COMPILED FROM SOURCES CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH DISCLAIMS ALL REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING AS TO THE VALIDITY, ACCURACY, REASONABLENESS OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION, STATEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF

ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Crisil Coalition Greenwich is a part of Crisil Ltd., an S&P Global company. ©2026 Crisil Ltd. All rights reserved.