

Beyond Liquidity: Optimizing Product Selection

April 19, 2018

Executive Summary:



From equities to fixed income, the number of financial products available to portfolio managers is impressive. While the financial crisis all but dried up the market for complex structured products, the following 10 years brought a host of new, more straightforward instruments that have, in some cases, enhanced liquidity and eased access to the underlying markets. Many of these benefits continue to go underutilized, however, as the analysis to determine the most effective instrument choice for the given situation is non-trivial.

Portfolio managers and their trading desks primarily choose instruments based on past experience rather than through an analytical process. While the accumulated knowledge of an experienced portfolio manager should not be undervalued, a move toward more systematic instrument selection would ultimately enhance fund returns by capturing alpha invisible to the naked eye. Should you buy a bond or use an exchange-traded fund (ETF) or credit default swap (CDS) to gain that exposure instead? Now more than ever, analyzing that not-so-simple question on demand throughout the day could have an outsized impact not only on the portfolio but on the market as a whole.

A move toward more systematic instrument selection would ultimately enhance fund returns by capturing alpha invisible to the naked eye.

For instance, [90% of U.S.-based corporate bond investors](#)¹ say that a lack of liquidity has impacted their ability to implement their investment strategy, and volatility in the roll process is adding additional costs to derivatives-heavy portfolios. At the same time, [89% of FX dealers](#)² believe that uncleared margin requirements have negatively impacted their cost of trading.


While these structural challenges impact each fund differently, waiting for the market structure to change in

your favor rather than employing a smarter approach to instrument selection is a losing proposition.

Methodology:

From November 2017 to February 2018, Greenwich Associates interviewed 41 institutional investors in the United States and Europe to understand current practices relating to product selection and relative value analysis. Our participants included asset managers, insurance companies, banks and hedge funds. Almost two-thirds were firms with assets under management of \$5 billion or more.

DEMOGRAPHICS



Note: May not total 100% due to rounding. Based on 41 respondents.
Source: Greenwich Associates 2018 Relative Value Analysis Study



www.greenwich.com | ContactUs@greenwich.com

Coalition Greenwich, a division of CRISIL, an S&P Global Company, is a leading global provider of strategic benchmarking, analytics and insights to the financial services industry.

We specialize in providing unique, high-value and actionable information to help our clients improve their business performance.

Our suite of analytics and insights encompass all key performance metrics and drivers: market share, revenue performance, client relationship share and quality, operational excellence, return on equity, behavioral drivers, and industry evolution.

About CRISIL

CRISIL is a leading, agile and innovative global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets

function better. It is majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics, and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

CRISIL is India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics, and solutions with a strong record of growth, culture of innovation, and global footprint.

It has delivered independent opinions, actionable insights and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers through businesses that operate from India, the U.S., the U.K., Argentina, Poland, China, Hong Kong, and Singapore.

For more information, visit www.crisil.com

Disclaimer and Copyright

This Document is prepared by Crisil Coalition Greenwich, which is a part of Crisil Ltd, a company of S&P Global. All rights reserved. This Document may contain analysis of commercial data relating to revenues, productivity and headcount of financial services organisations (together with any other commercial information set out in the Document). The Document may also include statements, estimates and projections with respect to the anticipated future performance of certain companies and as to the market for those companies' products and services.

The Document does not constitute (or purport to constitute) an accurate or complete representation of past or future activities of the businesses or companies considered in it but rather is designed to only highlight the trends. This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a comprehensive Document on the financial state of any business or company. The Document represents the views of Crisil Coalition Greenwich as on the date of the Document and Crisil Coalition Greenwich has no obligation to update or change it in the light of new or additional information or changed circumstances after submission of the Document.

This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a credit assessment or investment advice and should not form basis of any lending, investment or credit decision. This Document does not constitute nor form part of an offer or invitation to subscribe for, underwrite or purchase securities in any company. Nor should this Document, or any part of it, form the basis to be relied upon in any way in connection with any contract relating to any securities. The Document is not an investment analysis or research and is not subject to regulatory or legal obligations on the production of, or content of, investment analysis or research.

The data contained in the Document is based upon a particular bank's scope, which reflects a bank's data submission, business structure, and sales revenue Reporting methodology. As a result, any data contained in the Document may not be directly comparable to data presented to another bank. For franchise benchmarking, Crisil Coalition Greenwich has implemented equal ranking logic on aggregate results i.e., when sales revenues are within 5% of at least one competitor ahead, a tie is shown and designated by = (where actual ranks are shown). Entity level data has no equal ranking logic implemented and therefore, on occasion, the differences between rank bands can be very close mathematically.

The data in this Document may reflect the views reported to Crisil Coalition Greenwich by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Crisil Coalition Greenwich compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes to produce the final results.

THE DOCUMENT IS COMPILED FROM SOURCES CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH DISCLAIMS ALL REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING AS TO THE VALIDITY, ACCURACY, REASONABLENESS OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION, STATEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Crisil Coalition Greenwich is a part of Crisil Ltd., an S&P Global company. ©2026 Crisil Ltd. All rights reserved.

greenwich.com

ContactUs@greenwich.com

Ph +1203.625.5038